STAFF DIRECTOR JEFFREY R. PIKE

CHIFF COUNSEL

MINORITY STAFF DIRECTOR

MINORITY CHIEF COUNSEL CYNTHIA M. WILKINSON

GERRY E. STUDDS, MASSACHUSETTS, CHAIRMAN

WILLIAM J. HUGHES, NEW JERSEY EARL HUTTO, FLORIDA W.J. (BILLY) TAUZIN, LOUISIANA WILLIAM O. LIPINSKI, ILLINOIS SOLOMON P. ORTIZ, TEXAS THOMAS J. MANTON, NEW YORK OWEN B. PICKETT, VIRGINIA GEORGE J. HOCHBRUECKNER, NEW YORK FRANK PALLONE, JR., NEW JERSEY GREG LAUGHLIN, TEXAS
JOLENE UNSOELD, WASHINGTON
GENE TAYLOR, MISSISSIPPI
JACK REED, RHODE ISLAND H. MARTIN LANCASTER, NORTH CAROLINA THOMAS H. ANDREWS, MAINE ELIZABETH FURSE, OREGON ELIZABETH FURSE, OREGON
LYNN SCHENK, CALIFORNIA
GENE GREEN, TEXAS
ALCEE L. HASTINGS, FLORIDA
DAN HAMBURG, CALIFORNIA
BLANCHE M. LAMBERT, ARKANSAS
ANNA G. ESHOO, CALIFORNIA
THOMAS J. BARLOW, III, KENTUCKY
BART STUPAK, MICHIGAN
BENNIE G. THOMPSON, MISSISSIPPI
MARIA CANTWELL, WASHINGTON
PETER DEUTSCH, FLORIDA
GARY L. ACKERMAN, NEW YORK GARY L. ACKERMAN, NEW YORK

JACK FIELDS, TEXAS DON YOUNG, ALASKA HERBERT H. BATEMAN, VIRGINIA
JIM SAXTON, NEW JERSEY
HOWARD COBLE, NORTH CAROLINA HOWARD COBLE, NORTH CAROLINA
CURT WELDON, PENNSYLVANIA
JAMES M. INHOFE, OKLAHOMA
ARTHUR RAVENEL, JR., SOUTH CAROLINA
WAYNE T. GILCHREST, MARYLAND
RANDY "DUKE" CUNNINGHAM, CALIFORNIA
JACK KINGSTON, GEORGIA
TILLIE K. FOWLER, FLORIDA
MICHAEL N. CASTLE, DELAWARE
PETER T. KING, NEW YORK
LINCOLN DIAZ-BALART, FLORIDA
RICHARD W. POMBO, CALIFORNIA RICHARD W. POMBO, CALIFORNIA HELEN DELICH BENTLEY, MARYLAND CHARLES H. TAYLOR, NORTH CAROLINA PETER G. TORKILDSEN, MASSACHUSETTS

U.S. House of Representatives Committee on Merchant Marine and Fisheries Room 1334, Longworth House Office Building Washington, DC 20515-6230

October 18, 1993

Mr. Craig Harrison Pacific Seabird Group c/o Hunton & Williams P.O. Box 19230 Washington, DC 20036

Dear Mr. Harrison:

I am writing to solicit your comments on the attached migratory bird conservation legislation. As you know, there currently is tremendous public interest in migratory birds, thanks in part to the Partners in Flight Program and the efforts of many agencies and nongovernmental organizations. Given this interest, I believe we have a real opportunity to review and strengthen our laws relating to the conservation of migratory birds, especially the nongame species. The National Audubon Society has approached the Subcommittee about a possible migratory bird legislative initiative, and we have spent the last several months preparing the enclosed "discussion draft". The proposed legislation requires development of regional habitat management plans, strengthens implementation of the Western Hemisphere Convention and the migratory bird conventions with Russia and Japan, increases penalties for violations of the Migratory Bird Treaty Act, and addresses the lack of data and attention to takings of migratory birds in commercial fisheries.

I am interested in having your written comments on and suggestions for this draft migratory bird legislation. your feedback on the entire draft, or simply on those sections that particularly interest you. Here are four questions of particular interest to me:

Would hiring a federal Partners in Flight coordinator (roughly modeled after the North American Waterfowl Plan coordinator) be helpful as the Partners in Flight working groups and joint committee define and seek to implement national and regional priorities? Is there a different mechanism that would be more effective?

Mr. Craig Harrison October 18, 1993 Page two

- Are there examples of cases where violations of the Migratory Bird Treaty Act were not prosecuted because of the low penalties in the MBTA? Are there cases where a judge failed to take seriously a violation of the MBTA when sentencing a violator because of the low criminal penalties or lack of civil penalties?
- Are there examples of cases under the MBTA that should have been prosecuted, but were not, because the felony standard for "takings is limited to violations for "bartering and selling"?
- Are there areas of concern with respect to migratory bird conservation that are not addressed in this legislation but that are within the jurisdiction of the Committee on Merchant Marine and Fisheries?

I would appreciate having your written reply by the close of business on Friday, October 29. This draft is being circulated only for discussion purposes, and both the Subcommittee and Audubon staff would appreciate it if you would not circulate it any more widely than necessary for purposes of internal review. Thank you, and I look forward to hearing back from you.

Sincerely,

Gina De Ferrari Senior Staff

Din De Lenar

Enclosures